

# RatingsDirect®

---

## Research Update:

# Dutch Electricity And Gas Utility Enexis Downgraded To 'A+'; Outlook Stable

### Primary Credit Analyst:

Vittoria Ferraris, Milan (39) 02-72111-207; vittoria.ferraris@standardandpoors.com

### Secondary Contact:

Mark J Davidson, London (44) 20-7176-6306; mark.j.davidson@standardandpoors.com

## Table Of Contents

---

Overview

Rating Action

Rationale

Outlook

Ratings Score Snapshot

Related Criteria And Research

Ratings List

## Research Update:

# Dutch Electricity And Gas Utility Enexis Downgraded To 'A+'; Outlook Stable

## Overview

- In our view Dutch electricity and gas distribution network operator Enexis Holding N.V. will need to finance material investments to safeguard the future reliability of the power and gas distribution grids over 2015-2018.
- We believe that Enexis' Standard & Poor's-adjusted credit metrics will deteriorate beyond a "modest" financial risk profile.
- We are therefore lowering our long-term rating on Enexis to 'A+' from 'AA-'.
- The stable outlook reflects our opinion that Enexis will be able to sustain adjusted funds from operations (FFO) to debt in the 20%-23% range over the coming two years.

## Rating Action

On Oct. 6, 2014, Standard & Poor's Ratings Services lowered its long-term corporate credit ratings on Dutch electricity and gas distribution network operator Enexis Holding N.V. and its subsidiary Enexis B.V. (jointly Enexis) to 'A+' from 'AA-'. At the same time, we lowered the ratings on Enexis' unsecured debt to 'A+' from 'AA-'. The outlook is stable.

## Rationale

The rating action reflects our view that Enexis' Standard & Poor's-adjusted ratio of funds from operations (FFO) to debt will fall below our previous expectation of 25% on a sustainable basis by the end of the current regulatory period in 2016. In our view, this will occur because of the combined effect of material investments necessary to upgrade and maintain the security and the reliability of the power and gas distribution grids in Enexis' service area together with the final determination of the Dutch regulator ACM (Authority for Consumers and Market), which has lowered Enexis' return on capital .

For this reason, we have revised our view of Enexis' financial risk profile to "intermediate" from "modest," which we believe is commensurate with the credit metrics that Enexis will be able to sustain in the future. We now expect Enexis to be able to post adjusted FFO to debt of above 20% on a sustainable basis from 2016.

At the same time, we have removed our negative comparable rating analysis modifier, as we believe that Enexis' credit metrics will be solidly positioned

in the "intermediate" financial risk category.

Enexis has a natural monopoly in its license areas, low-risk regulated electricity and gas distribution network businesses, and high-quality network assets. All of these factors feed into our "excellent" business risk profile assessment. The assessment also factors in good visibility on the regulatory framework within the three-year period. Nevertheless, we believe that regulatory tariff reset risk is significant for the Dutch distribution network operator, as demonstrated by the final determination of the ACM. The ACM set relatively ambitious efficiency targets for the 2014-2016 period, but at the same time lowered the weighted average cost of capital (real pre-tax) to 3.6% from 6.2%, which we believe is among the lowest in Europe. In our base-case scenario, we expect Enexis' profitability, which we mainly measure using return on capital, to decline to below 6% from 2016, from an average 8% in 2012-2013.

In our base case, we assume:

- Flat revenues over 2014-2016;
- Average EBITDA margin of 51% over 2014-2016;
- Cumulative capital expenditure exceeding €1.7 billion over 2014-2016;
- Cumulative dividends of approximately €350 million over 2014-2016, equivalent to a 50% payout; and
- Average cost of debt at 4%.

Based on these assumptions, we arrive at the following credit measures:

- Adjusted FFO to debt falling below our prior expectation of 25% by 2016, the end of the current regulatory period; and
- Negative discretionary cash flow over 2014-2016.

The 'A+' rating on Enexis is based on the company's stand-alone credit profile, which we assess at 'a+', and on our opinion that there is a "moderate" likelihood that Enexis' owners would provide timely and sufficient extraordinary support in the event of financial distress. In accordance with our criteria for government-related entities, our view of a "moderate" likelihood of extraordinary support is based on our assessment of Enexis':

- "Important" role, given Enexis' strategic importance to its province and municipality owners as the monopoly provider of gas and electricity distribution services in its license areas; and
- "Limited" link to its owners, given the dispersed ownership structure. Enexis' owners are the provinces of Noord Brabant (31%), Overijssel (19%), Limburg (16%), Groningen (6%), and Drenthe (2%), as well as other provinces and 116 municipalities in the region.

## **Liquidity**

We assess Enexis' liquidity as "strong" as defined in our criteria, supported by our view that Enexis' liquidity sources will exceed its funding needs by over 1.5x in the next 12 months. Furthermore, Enexis has well-established and solid relationships with banks, generally high standing in the credit markets, and typically very prudent risk management.

We estimate that Enexis' liquidity sources over the next 12 months will exceed €1.3 billion, as of June, 2014, including:

- Unrestricted cash and short-term marketable securities of close to €106 million;
- Access to an undrawn €600 million committed credit facility expiring in June 2019. and
- Annual FFO of about €560 million-€570 million.

For the same period, we estimate that liquidity uses will be €600 million, including:

- Almost no short-term maturities,
- Capital expenditures averaging €500 million, and
- Annual dividend payments of about €120 million.

## Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our opinion that Enexis will be able to sustain adjusted FFO to debt of above 20% in 2015-2018. We expect Enexis' business risk profile to remain stable, despite the tough 2014-2016 regulatory review that we expect to weigh on the company's profitability.

### Downside scenario

We could lower the ratings if we believed that the company's FFO to debt would decline even further and remain below 18%, a level we would no longer consider commensurate with an "intermediate" financial risk profile. In our view, this could occur either through further sector consolidation in the Netherlands or through an extraordinary dividend distribution.

### Upside scenario

Given the regulated tariffs through 2016 and the company's capital expenditure program and dividend policy, we believe that rating upside is limited. With this in mind, we could raise the ratings if we deemed it likely that Enexis would be able to sustain adjusted FFO to debt of about 25%, all else remaining equal. However, we consider this scenario unlikely.

## Ratings Score Snapshot

Corporate Credit Rating: A+/Stable/--

Business risk: Excellent

- Country risk: Low Risk
- Industry risk: Very Low Risk
- Competitive position: Excellent

Financial risk: Intermediate

- Cash flow/Leverage: Intermediate

Anchor: a+

#### Modifiers

- Diversification/Portfolio effect: Neutral (no impact)
- Capital structure: Neutral (no impact)
- Liquidity: Strong (no impact)
- Financial policy: Neutral (no impact)
- Management and governance: Satisfactory (no impact)
- Comparable rating analysis: Neutral (no impact)

Stand-alone credit profile: a+

- Sovereign rating: AA+/Stable/A-1+
- Likelihood of government support: Moderate

## Related Criteria And Research

- Methodology And Assumptions: Liquidity Descriptors For Global Corporate Issuers, Jan. 2, 2014
- Corporate Methodology, Nov. 19, 2013
- Corporate Methodology: Ratios And Adjustments, Nov. 19, 2013
- Key Credit Factors For The Regulated Utilities Industry, Nov. 19, 2013
- Country Risk Assessment Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 19, 2013
- Methodology: Industry Risk, Nov. 19, 2013
- Methodology: Management And Governance Credit Factors For Corporate Entities And Insurers, Nov. 13, 2012
- Rating Government-Related Entities: Methodology And Assumptions, Dec. 9, 2010
- Stand-Alone Credit Profiles: One Component Of A Rating, Oct. 1, 2010
- Use Of CreditWatch And Outlooks, Sept. 14, 2009

## Ratings List

### Downgraded

	To	From
Enexis Holding N.V.		
Enexis B.V.		
Corporate Credit Rating	A+/Stable/--	AA-/Stable/--
Enexis Holding N.V.		
Senior Unsecured	A+	AA-

### Additional Contact:

Infrastructure Finance Ratings Europe; InfrastructureEurope@standardandpoors.com

Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at [www.globalcreditportal.com](http://www.globalcreditportal.com) and at [spcapitaliq.com](http://spcapitaliq.com). All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on Standard & Poor's public Web site at [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com). Use the Ratings search box located in the left

column. Alternatively, call one of the following Standard & Poor's numbers:  
Client Support Europe (44) 20-7176-7176; London Press Office (44)  
20-7176-3605; Paris (33) 1-4420-6708; Frankfurt (49) 69-33-999-225; Stockholm  
(46) 8-440-5914; or Moscow 7 (495) 783-4009.

Copyright © 2014 Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC, a part of McGraw Hill Financial. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgement as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com) (free of charge), and [www.ratingsdirect.com](http://www.ratingsdirect.com) and [www.globalcreditportal.com](http://www.globalcreditportal.com) (subscription) and [www.spcapitaliq.com](http://www.spcapitaliq.com) (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at [www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees](http://www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees).